Country

Region, Year

On-going, 0%

Image Placeholder

Right to Defend Rights - Group Assessment

Organization:

Respondent

Reviewer:

Published:

Survey Answers

0. IDENTIFICATION OF THE RESPONDENT(S)

Respondent and Data Coverage

formation about the respondent's group or association
Community group or community groups of human rights defenders
National network of organisations working on human rights issues
Association of individuals/rights-holders working on human rights issues
Other (specify in comments)
utus: open view status: Not reviewed
dance easons of transparency and quality of data collected, it is important to know the type of organisation that has collected the
hat is the registration status of your group, organisation, or network?
Association is legally registered

Denmark Page 1 of 91

	Association is not legally registered and has not applied for registration
	tus: open view status: Not reviewed
	hich of the of following human rights issues does your group, organisation, o twork work with?
	twoir work with:
	Environment, Land, and human rights
	Business and human rights
	Economic, social and cultural rights (in general)
	Labour rights
]	Women's rights
]	Children's rights
	LGBTI rights
]	Indigenous peoples' rights
	IDP rights
	Refugee rights
1	Migrant rights
1	
]	Civil and political rights (in general)

Which methods were used for data collection (tick as many boxes as relevant)
Individual survey: where you have asked (some of) the questions to individual persons or organisations.
Focus group: where you have asked (some of) the questions to a select group of human rights defenders, including bomen and women, young and elderly etc.
Other
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed
Guidance The group of human rights defenders or civil society should agree on the best possible data collection methodology to ensure the responses build on as much information and include as many perspectives as possible. Information about the data collectorocess is important to establish the credibility and acceptability of the data.
Sources: Data provider, respondents to the questionnaire
What is the estimated number of members of your community, group or association covered by this assessment?
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed
Guidance It is important to know the total number of members of your community or group covered by this assessment. It will give an indication about the scale of the situation revealed through the data and also an indication of how representative the data is compared to the total population.
What is the geographical coverage of the data collected through this questionnaire?
C Entire Country
State or region in the country
Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed			

Guidance

The questionnaire is typically used to collect data at the national level. However, in the event that your data is only limited to certain regions, please indicate.

In the Comment box, please specify which regions your responses cover.

If your response only covers a region or some regions in the country (b), please indicate which region(s)
Region(s)
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed

What are the three years covered by y	your data?
	Year 1
	Year 2
	Year 3
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed	

Guidance

The questionnaire is used to collect data over a three-year period. Please indicate each of the three years for which the data will be collected.

Sources:

Data provider, respondents to the questionnaire

1. GENERAL FRAMEWORK

This domain covers measures which enable or hinder the overall protection and promotion of human rights and fundamental freedoms. It has one attribute: (1.1) general measures of implementation. This attribute covers issues such as: crimes against human rights defenders, including killings, kidnaping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention, torture and threats; and the use of legislation to criminalize the legitimate activities of human rights defenders.

1. General framework Page 4 of 91

1.1 General Implementation

1.1.1 In the last three years, have there been any cases of the following harmful acts against human rights defenders:

	Yes	No	No data
Killings	0	0	\circ
Kidnapping	0	0	0
Enforced disappearance	0	0	0
Arbitrary detention	0	0	0
Torture	0	0	0

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question is designed to collect data on an indicator equivalent to SDG 16.10.1 which measures the number of harmful acts against human rights defenders (killings, kidnapping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention and torture)

Please find here overall guidance on the collection and processing of sensitive case data.

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. Additional comments can be placed in the Comment Box.

Data provider:

Groups of Human Rights Defenders

<u>18</u>

Description

Number of verified cases of killing, kidnapping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention, and torture of journalists, associated media personnel, trade unionists and human rights advocates (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 11 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 17 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.4 Human Rights Defender Declara</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC Art. 6.1 CRPD Art. 10 CRPD Art. 14.1 CRPD Art. 15.1 ICCPR Art. 2.3 ICCPR Art. 7 ICERD Art. 5 ICRMW Art. 10 ICRMW art. 16 ICRMW Art. 9 ICCPR Art. 9.1 ICCPR General Comment No. 35 ICCPR Art. 6.1 ICCPR General Comment No. 36

Resources

SDG Indicator Metadata - 16.10.1 (UNSD) International human rights standards and recommendations relevant to the disagg...

Concepts

1. General framework Page 5 of 91

1.1.2. If yes, how many cases were reported in

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Killings	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Killings	Killings	Killings
Kidnappings	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Kidnappin	S Kidnappin	gs Kidnappings
Enforced disappearances	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Enforced	Enforced	Enforced
	disappears	ances disappear	ances disappearance
Arbitrary detentions	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Arbitrary	Arbitrary	Arbitrary
	detentions	detentions	detentions
Cases of torture	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Cases	Cases	Cases
	of	of	of
	torture	torture	torture

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.3. Please include the total number of cases for each year with regard to the following:

1.1.3.1 Gender of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of male	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	male	male	male

1. General framework Page 6 of 91

No. of female	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	female	female	female
No. of other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other
No. of unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknown	unknown	unknow

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.3.2 Age of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	no.	no.	no.
no. of 18 and over	of 18	of 18	of 18
	and	and	and
	over	over	over
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	no.	no.	no.
no. of under 18	of	of	of
	under	under	under
	18	18	18
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
no. of unknown	no.	no.	no.
	of	of	of
	unknov		vn unknov

1. General framework Page 7 of 91

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.3.3 Other provided characteristics (self-identified)

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. from indigenous group	No.	No.	No.
Tion mangement group	from	from	from
	indigen	_	I
	group	group	group
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. from ethnic minority	No.	No.	No.
No. Holli Cullic Hillotty	from	from	from
	ethnic	ethnic	ethnic
	minority	minority	minority
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No from religious minority	No.	No.	No.
No. from religious minority	from	from	from
	religious	religiou	s religious
	minority	minority	minority
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
No. of person living with disabilities	of	of	of
No. or person living with disabilities	person	person	person
	living	living	living
	with	with	with
	disabilit	ies disabilit	ies disabilitie
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of LGBTI	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
		LGBTI	LGBTI

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1. General framework Page 8 of 91

1.1.3.4 Profession of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
no. of trade unionists	Year 1: no. of trade unionis	Year 2: no. of trade s unionis	Year 3: no. of trade ts unionists
no. of journalists	Year	Year	Year
	1: no.	2: no.	3: no.
	of	of	of
	journali	sts journali	sts journalists
no. of other	Year	Year	Year
	1: no.	2: no.	3: no.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.3.5 Alleged Perpetrator of Act

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. allegedly perpetrated by state actor	Year 1: No. allegedly perpetra by state actor	_	[
No. allegedly perpetrated by businesses	Year 1: No. allegedl perpetra by busines	ted perpetra	ted perpetrate
No. allegedly perpetrated by other non-state actors	Year 1: No.	Year 2: No.	Year 3: No.

1. General framework Page 9 of 91

	allegedly perpetrated by other non- state actors	allegedly perpetrated by other non- state actors	allegedly perpetrate by other non- state actors
No. unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1: No.	2: No.	3: No.
	unknowh	unknown	unknowh

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.3.6 Issue worked on by HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Environment, Land, and human rights	Year 1: Environr Land, and human rights	Year 2: nent, Environr Land, and human rights	Year 3: nent, Environmen Land, and human rights
Business and human rights	Year 1: Business and human rights	Year 2: Busines and human rights	Year 3: Business and human rights
Civic and political rights (in general)	Year 1: Civic and political rights (in general)	Year 2: Civic and political rights (in general)	Year 3: Civic and political rights (in general)

1. General framework Page 10 of 91

	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Economic,	Economic,	Economi
	social	social	social
Economic, social and cultural rights (in general)	and	and	and
	cultural	cultural	cultural
	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)
	Year	Year	Year
Labour rights	1:	2:	3:
Labour rights	Labour	Labour	Labour
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Women's rights	1:	2:	3:
Women's rights	Women's	Women's	Women'
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Children's Dights	1:	2:	3:
Children's Rights	Children	Childrens	Children
	Rights	Rights	Rights
	Year	Year	Year
I CDTI visibile	1:	2:	3:
LGBTI rights	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
Indigenous peoples, rights	Indigenous	Indigenous	Indigeno
	peoples,	peoples,	peoples,
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
IDP rights	1: IDP	2: IDP	3: IDP
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Pofugoo righto	1:	2:	3:
Refugee rights	Refugee	Refugee	Refugee
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Migrant rights	1:	2:	3:
Migrant rights	Migrant	Migrant	Migrant
	rights	rights	rights
Other	Year	Year	Year

1. General framework Page 11 of 91

	1:	2:	3:
	Other	Other	Other
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed			

1.1.3.7 Over each of the last three years, in how many of the reported cases of killings, kidnapping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention, and torture of human rights defenders has the perpetrator been convicted?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Perpetrator convicted	0	\circ	0
Perpetrator not convicted	0	0	0
Case still open	0	0	0
No case opened	0	0	0

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question is linked to the previous question which documents cases of harmful acts against human rights defenders. It assesses the proportion of reported cases where the perpetrator has been convicted in the last three years.

To assess this, use data from the previous question in relation to total number of cases. Identify in which of these cases, the perpetrator has been convicted or not convicted, as per the questions.

<u>In</u> the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. Additional comments can be placed in the Comment Box.

Data source:

Information on number of cases is available as responses to previous question.

NHRIs and key human rights organisations / media monitoring are useful sources to identify convictions, which can then be verified with court information usually available from Ministries of Justice, court records or records offices.

Potential data provider:

NHRI, human rights defender and civil society networks, academia.

<u>17</u>

Description

Proportion of reported cases of killing, kidnapping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention, and torture of journalists, associated media personnel, trade unionists and human rights advocates that have resulted in a conviction of the perpetrator (over

1. General framework Page 12 of 91

the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 1</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 17</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.2</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.2</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.3</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.3 Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CAT Art. 12 CAT Art. 13 CRC Art. 37 ICCPR Art. 2.3 ICCPR General Comment No. 31 CAT art. 2

Resources

SDG Indicator Metadata - 16.10.1 (UNSD)

Concepts

<u>Kidnappings (of human rights defenders)</u> <u>Killings (of human rights defenders)</u> <u>Enforced Disappearance (of human rights defenders)</u> <u>Torture Convictions Arbitrary Detention</u>

1.1.4. In the last three years, have there been any cases where criminal law provisions have been used to criminalise a member of your group/association or your group/association's work to promote and protect human rights?
○ Yes
○ No
O No data
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses whether and to what extent a legal pretext is used to criminalize the legitimate activities of human rights defenders.

To assess this, identify any cases using the guidance below.

Human rights defenders are sometimes wrongly accused of being terrorists, criminals or against the State to restrict their legitimate activities and to use the power of the law against them.

When answering this question, you should consider that the count of cases should include only:

- a. Cases for which you have adequate documentation.
- b. Cases where the legitimate activities of HRDs are targeted. Cases where the law is used to pursue actual criminal activities or violent acts of HRDs should not be included. You should draw on the most reliable evidence, contextual information, and careful judgement to determine whether the incriminated activities were legitimate activities in the spirit of the UNDHRD.
- c. Only cases where HRDs are facing criminal procedures such as investigations, charges, or sanctions by state authorities (mainly law enforcement agencies and the judiciary) for legitimate activities should be included. Other legal charges or *civil* lawsuits by private individuals should not be included here.

The counting unit for this indicator is the person targeted by criminalization. This means that if one case involves several persons targeted simultaneously, one person is counted for each targeted HRD. The same criminal charge against an individual or organisation in one year should be counted only once – that means if the same person is targeted with the same criminal charge

1. General framework Page 13 of 91

repeatedly over the same year, the person is counted only once in that year. If one person is targeted multiple times a year with different criminal charges, then the case is counted several times (for each separate charge).

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. Please provide also the legislation that was used to criminalize the legitimate activities of your group, organisation or network or any of its members (for example, Anti-terrorism laws/Defamation laws/Public Order laws)

Extra information can be placed in the Additional Comment Box.

Data Provider:

Groups of human Rights Defenders



Description

Reported cases of use of legislation to criminalize the legitimate activities of human rights defenders (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 11 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 17 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.3</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC Art. 13.1 CRC Art. 14.1 CRC art. 15 CRC art. 16 CRPD Art. 14.1 CRPD Art. 15.1 ICCPR art. 19 ICCPR art. 20 ICCPR Art. 21 ICCPR art. 22 ICERD Art. 5 ICRMW art. 13 ICRMW Art. 14 ICRMW art. 26

1.1.5 If yes, how many cases were reported in:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year 1: No. of case	2: No. of	Year 3: No. of case:

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1. General framework Page 14 of 91

1.1.6. Please include the total number of cases for each year with regard to the following:

1.1.6.1 Gender of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of male	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	male	male	male
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of female	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	female	female	female
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of other	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of unknown	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknov		

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.6.2 Age of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of 18 and over	Year 1: No. of 18 and over	Year 2: No. of 18 and over	Year 3: No. of 18 and over
No. of under 18	Year	Year	Year

1. General framework Page 15 of 91

	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	under	under	under
	18	18	18
No. of unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknow	unknow	vn unknow

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.6.3 Other provided characteristics (self-identified)

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. from indigenous group	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	from	from	from
	indigeno	ous indigen	ous indigenou
	group	group	group
No. from ethnic minority	Year 1: No. from ethnic minority	Year 2: No. from ethnic minority	Year 3: No. from ethnic minority
No. from religious minority	Year 1: No. from religious minority		_
No. of person living with disabilities	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of

1. General framework Page 16 of 91

	person	person	person
	living	living	living
	with	with	with
	disabilities	disabilities	disabilities
No. of LGBTI	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.6.4 Profession

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of trade unionists	No.	No.	No.
No. of trade difformation	of	of	of
	trade	trade	trade
	unionis	s unionis	s unionists
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of journalists	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	journali	sts journali	sts journalists
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of other	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1. General framework Page 17 of 91

1.1.6.5 Alleged Perpetrator

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1: No.	2: No.	3: No.
	allegedl	y allegedl	y allegedly
No. allegedly perpetrated by state actor	perpetra	ted perpetra	ted perpetrate
	by	by	by
	state	state	state
	actor	actor	actor
	Year	Year	Year
	1: No.	2: No.	3: No.
No. allegedly perpetrated by businesses	allegedl	y allegedl	y allegedly
No. allegedly perpetrated by businesses	perpetra	ted perpetra	ted perpetrate
	by	by	by
	busines	ses busines	ses businesse
	Year	Year	Year
	1: No.	2: No.	3: No.
	allegedl	y allegedl	y allegedly
	perpetra	ted perpetra	ted perpetrate
No. allegedly perpetrated by other non-state actors	by	by	by
	other	other	other
	non-	non-	non-
	state	state	state
	actors	actors	actors
	Year	Year	Year
No. unknown	1: No.	2: No.	3: No.
IVO. UTINTOWIT	unknow	n unknow	n unknown

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.6.6 Issue being worked on

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Environment, land, and human rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Environr	nent, Environr	nent, Environme

1. General framework Page 18 of 91

	land,	land,	land,
	and	and	and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights
Business and human rights	Year 1: Business and human rights	Year 2: Business and human rights	Year 3: Business and human rights
Civic and political rights (in general)	Year 1: Civic and political rights (in general)	Year 2: Civic and political rights (in general)	Year 3: Civic and political rights (in general)
Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	Year 1: Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	Year 2: Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	Year 3: Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)
Labour rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Labour	Labour	Labour
	rights	rights	rights
Women's rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Women's	Women's	Women's
	rights	rights	rights
Children's rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Children's	Children's	Children's
	rights	rights	rights
LGBTI rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI
	rights	rights	rights

1. General framework Page 19 of 91

Indigenous peoples' rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Indigenous	Indigenous	Indigenous
	peoples'	peoples'	peoples'
	rights	rights	rights
IDP rights	Year	Year	Year
	1: IDP	2: IDP	3: IDP
	rights	rights	rights
Refugee rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Refugee	Refugee	Refugee
	rights	rights	rights
Migrant rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Migrant	Migrant	Migrant
	rights	rights	rights
Other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Other	Other	Other

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.7. In the last three years, have there been any cases of threats to individual human rights defenders within your group, association, or network for promoting and protecting human rights?

promoting and protecting numan rights:
○ Yes
O No
No data
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the extent to which individual human rights defenders are subject to threats related to their work to protect and defend human rights.

Individual human rights defenders often face threats aimed at intimidating them and limiting their work, as well as intimidating others. These threats are also often intersectional in nature as they can stem from not only an HRD's profession/issue of focus, but

1. General framework Page 20 of 91

also other defining characteristics, such as one's gender, race, class, sexual orientation, etc. Both offline and online threats should be considered.

The counting unit for this indicator is the case. If a person faces multiple different threats at the same time (threat of physical harm and being placed on a list), this will be counted as one case. If they face threats at another point in the year, this will count as an additional case. If there is a threat of violence or attack against multiple individuals separately, each case is counted separately. In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information, including geographic location of the incident.

Data Provider:

Groups of human rights defenders

I11

Description

Reported cases of threats to individual human rights defenders (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 11 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 17 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 18.3</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

ICCPR Art. 9.1 ICCPR General Comment No. 35 ICCPR Art. 6.1 ICCPR General Comment No. 36

Concepts

Threats Other provided characteristics Self-identification

1.1.8. If yes, how many cases were there in:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year 1: No.	Year 2: No.	Year 3: No.
No. of cases	of cases	of	of

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.9. Please include the total number of cases for each year with regard to the following:

1. General framework Page 21 of 91

1.1.9.1 Gender of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
No. of male	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	male	male	male
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of female	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	female	female	female
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of unknown	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknov	ın unknovi	n unknowi
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of other	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.9.2 Age of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of 18 and over	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of 18	of 18	of 18
	and	and	and
	over	over	over
No. of under 18	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:

1. General framework Page 22 of 91

	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	under	under	under
	18	18	18
No. of unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknow	unknov	vn unknowr

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.9.3 Other provided characteristics (self-identified)

	Year 1	Year 1 Year 2		ar 1 Year 2 Ye	
No. from indigenous group	Year	Year	Year		
	1:	2:	3:		
	No.	No.	No.		
	from	from	from		
	indigen	ous indigen	ous indigenou		
	group	group	group		
No. from ethnic minority	Year	Year	Year		
	1:	2:	3:		
	No.	No.	No.		
	from	from	from		
	ethnic	ethnic	ethnic		
	minority	minority	minority		
No. from religious minority	Year 1: No. from religiou minority	_	-		
No. of person living with disabilities	Year	Year	Year		
	1:	2:	3:		
	No.	No.	No.		
	of	of	of		
	person	person	person		

1. General framework Page 23 of 91

	living	living	living
	with	with	with
	disabilities	s disabilit	es disabilities
No. of LGBTI	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.9.4 Profession

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of trade unionists	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	trade	trade	trade
	unionis	s unionis	s unionists
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of journalists	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	journali	sts journali	sts journalists
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of other	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1. General framework Page 24 of 91

1.1.9.5 Alleged Perpetrator

	No. allegedly perpetrated by state actor	No. allegedly perpetrated by businesses	No. allegedly perpetrated by other non-state actors	No. unknown
Year 1	Year 1: No. allegedl perpetra by state actor	i allegedi	ted by other	YAar
Year 2	Year 2: No. allegedl perpetra by state actor	i allegedi	ted by other	Year
Year 3	Year 3: No. allegedl perpetra by state actor		ted by other	Year

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

1.1.9.6 Issue being worked on

Year 1 Year 2 Year 3

1. General framework Page 25 of 91

	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Environment,	Environment,	5. Environme
Environment, Land, and human rights	Land,	Land,	Land,
	and	and	and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights

Review status: Not reviewed

2. OPINION AND EXPRESSION

This domain covers measures which enable or hinder the exercise of the freedom of opinion and expression. It is divided into three attributes: (2.1) freedom to hold opinions and to impart information and ideas, (2.2) access to and communication with non-governmental and intergovernmental organizations, and (2.3) access to information. These attributes cover issues such as: perception of civil society about being free or not to exercise their human rights-related work; online and offline media censorships and efforts to remedy it; violation of the right to communicate with NGOs and IGOs; and interference in the access to human rights-related websites.

2.1. To hold opinions and Impart Information

2.1.1. How free has your organisation, group, or network felt over the past three years to express themselves on the following human rights issues:

	Very free	Free	Not free	Would never say anything related to this issue out of fear	Work on this issue but do not know	Do not work on this issue
Environment, land and human rights	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	
Business and human rights	0	\circ	\circ	\circ	\circ	
Civil and political rights	0	\circ	0	\circ	\circ	
Economic, social and cultural rights	0	0		0		
Labour rights	0	0		0	0	

2. Opinion and Expression Page 26 of 91

Children's rights	0	\circ	0	0	\circ	0
LGBTI rights	0	0	0	0	\circ	0
Indigenous peoples' rights	0	0	0	0	\circ	0
IDP rights	0	0	0	0	\circ	0
Refugee rights	0	0	0	0	\circ	0
Migrant rights	0	0		0		
Other (specify in comments)	0	0	0	0	\circ	0

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses how free groups, organisations or networks working on human rights issues feel to express themselves. This indicator is based on the perceptions and opinions of the groups, organisations and networks themselves.

Freedom of opinion and expression is a fundamental human right, enshrined in several international human rights instruments. States must guarantee the right to freedom of expression, including the right to seek, receive and impart information and ideas of all kinds regardless of frontiers. This right includes the expression and receipt of communications of every form of idea and opinion capable of transmission to others, including on human rights. All forms of expression and the means of their dissemination are protected. Different forms of expression include spoken, written and sign language. Means of expression include, among others, books, newspapers, posters, legal submissions. Electronic and internet-based modes of expression are also protected. In the Data Source box, include any documentation to support your response.

In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response

Data Provider:

Group of Human Rights Defenders

118

Description

Proportion of civil society organizations working with human rights issues who reported feeling free to express themselves (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 6.a Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 6.b Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 7</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)
<u>Sustainable Development Goal 16.10</u>

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC art. 13 CRPD Art. 21 ICCPR art. 19 ICERD Art. 5 ICRMW Art. 13.2

2. Opinion and Expression Page 27 of 91

2.1.2. In the last three years, has your group, association, or network or any of its members experienced media censorship by the state for reporting on humanights issues?							
○ Yes							
○ No							
O No data							
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed							

Guidance

This question measures the extent of media censorship carried out by the state based on cases of online and offline censorship for reporting on human rights issues.

The right to freedom of expression includes the expression and receipt of communications of every form of idea and opinion. This right protects, among others, all forms and means of expression of human rights issues, including via electronic and internet-based modes of expression. A free, uncensored, and unhindered press or other media is essential to ensure freedom of opinion and expression.

In Data Source Box, include links and/or specific references as documentation for your response.

In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response

Article 19

Freedom House Media Freedom Reports: https://freedomhouse.org/issues/media-freedom(https://freedomhouse.org/issues/media-freedom(https://freedomhouse.org/issues/media-freedom)

Some organizations collect information on remedies following complaints on media censorship and other violations:

Council of Europe Platform for the Protection of Journalists and Safety of Journalists - https://www.coe.int/en/web/media-freedom/the-platform(https://www.coe.int/en/web/media-freedom/the-platform)

https://fom.coe.int/accueil(https://fom.coe.int/accueil)

The Platform for the Protection of Journalism and Safety of Journalists in Africa: https://safetyofjournalistsinafrica.africa/all-alerts/)
alerts/(https://safetyofjournalistsinafrica.africa/all-alerts/)

UNESCO Director General's Report to Inter-governmental IPDC Council: https://en.unesco.org/themes/safety-journalists/dgreport(https://en.unesco.org/themes/safety-journalists/dgreport)

I19

Description

Reported cases of media censorship (online and offline) carried out by the state for reporting on human rights issues (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 6.b</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 7</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC art. 13 CRPD Art. 21 ICCPR art. 19 ICCPR Art. 20.2 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICRMW art. 13

Resources

Mapping Media Freedom (European Center for Press and Media Freedom) Report on access to information (International

2. Opinion and Expression Page 28 of 91

Federation of Journalists) Media Freedom Reports (Freedom House) Platform to promote the protection of journalism and safety of journalists (Cou... The Digital Platform for Safety of Journalists in Africa UNESCO Director-General's Report on the Safety of Journalists and the Danger of...

Concepts

Media censorship Online and Offline Media

Effective remedies

Description

States have an obligation to ensure an effective, enforceable remedy determined and enforced by a competent domestic authority. To provide an effective remedy, the state must have taken appropriate steps to investigate allegations promptly, thoroughy and effectively through independent and impartial bodies in order to determine the factual circumstances of the violation and to identify those deemed responsible. Where investigations reveal abuses or violations, an effective remedy includes a state obligation to prosecute and punish the perpetrators, including where private actors are responsible. Administrative remedies are not effective remedies for cases of serious violations. An effective remedy also includes reparation measures to the victim or the family depending on the nature of the right involved and the features of violation. Reparation measures can include:the nullification of a conviction; retrial under due judicial guarantees; protection from threats; information on the fate of a disappeared person and prosecution; trial and punishment of those deemed responsible; and a guarantee that similar violations will not occur in the future.

Reference

https://www.ohchr.org/en/resources/educators/human-rights-education-tra...

Paul M Taylor, A commentary on the ICCPR 78-86

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/294262645 The Expanding Right ...

Media censorship

Description

Media Censorship by the state is a restriction on the right to freedom of opinion and expression. It effectively limits the right of media organisations and journalists to publish words, images or ideas. A free, uncensored and unhindered press or other media is essential in any society to ensure freedom of opinion and expression and the enjoyment of other Covenant rights. The restrictions must be in keeping with international human rights law.

Online and Offline Media

Description

Online media is media content that is presented electronically on websits and servers and includes social media and blogs. Offline media is media content that is presented using more traditional communication technologies, such as print, radio and television.

2.1.3. If yes, how many cases were there:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases online media	Year	Year	Year

2. Opinion and Expression Page 29 of 91

	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases
	online	online	online
	media	media	media
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
No. of cases offline media	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases
	offline	offline	offline
	media	media	media

Review status: Not reviewed

2.1.4. Over each of the last three years, how many of the cases of media censorship (online and offline) experienced by your group, association, network, or members were effectively remedied?

2.1.4.1 Cases of online media censorship

	Year 1	Year 1 Year 2 Year	
Effectively remedied	Year 1: Effectiv remedi	_	l • l •
Not effectively remedied:	Year 1: Not effectiv remedi		' '
Still open or unknown status:	Year 1: Still	Year 2: Still	Year 3: Still

2. Opinion and Expression Page 30 of 91

	open or unknow status:	open or /n unknov status:	
No legal case brought/open	Year 1: No legal case brough open	Year 2: No legal case brough open	Year 3: No legal case brought/ open

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question measures the degree to which state media censorship for reporting on human rights issues are effectively remedied. For assessing the legal status of each case, determine whether each case was remedied or not. It is possible that for some cases, no information on the outcome is available. These should then be assessed as still open or unknown status.

The right to freedom of expression includes the expression and receipt of communications of every form of idea and opinion. This right protects, among others, all forms and means of expression of human rights issues, including via electronic and internet-based modes of expression. A free, uncensored, and unhindered press or other media is essential to ensure freedom of opinion and expression.

In Data Source Box, include links and/or specific references as documentation for your response. In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response

117

Description

Proportion of reported cases of media censorship (online and offline) carried out by the state for reporting on human rights issues which were effectively remedied (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 6.b Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 7 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.3 Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC art. 13 CRPD Art. 21 ICCPR art. 19 ICCPR Art. 20.2 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICRMW art. 13 ICCPR Art. 2.3 ICCPR General Comment No. 31

Resources

Mapping Media Freedom (European Center for Press and Media Freedom) Report on access to information (International Federation of Journalists) Media Freedom Reports (Freedom House) Platform to promote the protection of journalism and safety of journalists (Cou... The Digital Platform for Safety of Journalists in Africa UNESCO Director-General's Report on the Safety of Journalists and the Danger of...

Concepts

2. Opinion and Expression Page 31 of 91

2.1.4.2 Cases of offline media censorship

	Year 1	Y	rear 2	rear 3
Effectively remedied	Year 1: Effectiv remedi	_	Year 2: Effectively remedied	Year 3: Effectivel remedied
Not effectively remedied	Year 1: Not effectiv remedi	-	Year 2: Not effectively remedied	Year 3: Not effectively remedied
Still open or unknown status	Year 1: Still open or unknov status	vn	Year 2: Still open or unknown status	Year 3: Still open or unknown status
No legal case brought/open	Year 1: No legal case brough open	V	Year 2: No legal case brought/ open	Year 3: No legal case brought/ open

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

2. Opinion and Expression Page 32 of 91

2.2 To communicate with NGOs and IGOs

2.2.1. In the last three years, has your group, organisation, network, or any of its members experienced intimidation and reprisals for communicating with international bodies?				
○ Yes				
○ No				
O No data				
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed				

Guidance

This question assesses the extent of intimidation and reprisals against human rights defenders for communicating with international bodies through the number of cases.

Individuals and groups who engage with the UN sometimes face intimidation or reprisals, as outlined in Human Rights Council resolution 12/2.

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. Additional comments and reflections can be placed in the Comment Box.

Data Provider:

Group of Human Rights Defenders

122

Description

Reported cases of intimidation and reprisals against human rights defenders for communicating with international bodies (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.c Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.4 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

ICCPR art. 12 ICCPR Art. 19.2 ICCPR art. 9 OPCAT Art. 15 OPCEDAW Art. 11 OPCESCR Art. 13 ICCPR Art. 6.1 ICCPR General Comment No. 36

Resources

Human Rights Council Resolution on cooperation with the UN A/HRC/RES/12/2 (2009) Acts of intimidation and reprisal for cooperation with the Special Procedures (... Preventing and addressing acts of intimidation and reprisal for cooperation wit... Intimidation and its Impact on Engagement with the UN Human Rights System: Meth...

Concepts

Intimidation Reprisals Other provided characteristics Self-identification

2. Opinion and Expression Page 33 of 91

2.2.2. If so, how many cases were there:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

2.2.3. Please include the total number of cases for each year with regard to the following:

2.2.3.1 Gender of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of male	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	male	male	male
No. of female	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	female	female	female
No. of other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other
No. of unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknov	vn unknow	n unknow

2. Opinion and Expression Page 34 of 91

Review status: Not reviewed

2.2.3.2 Age of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
no. of 18 and over	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	no.	no.	no.
	of 18	of 18	of 18
	and	and	and
	over	over	over
no. of under 18	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	no.	no.	no.
	of	of	of
	under	under	under
	18	18	18
no. of unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	no.	no.	no.
	of	of	of
	unknov	vn unknov	vn unknowr

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

2.2.3.3 Other provided characteristics (self-identified)

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	
No. from indigenous group	Year	Year	Year	
	1:	2:	3:	
	No.	No.	No.	
	from	from	from	
	indigeno	us indigend	ous indigenou	

2. Opinion and Expression Page 35 of 91

	T T		
	group	group	group
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. form other is rein with	No.	No.	No.
No. from ethnic minority	from	from	from
	ethnic	ethnic	ethnic
	minority	minority	minority
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
Mar Construction of the St.	No.	No.	No.
No. from religious minority	from	from	from
	religious	religious	religious
	minority	minority	minority
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
Mary Co. 1997 -	of	of	of
No. of person living with disabilities	person	person	person
	living	living	living
	with	with	with
	disabilities	disabilities	disabilitie
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of LGBTI	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI

Review status: Not reviewed

2.2.3.4 Profession

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of trade unionists	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	trade	trade	trade
	unionist	s unionist	s unionists

2. Opinion and Expression Page 36 of 91

No. of journalists	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	journalists	journalists	journalists
No. of other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other

Review status: Not reviewed

2.2.3.5 Alleged Perpetrator

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. allegedly perpetrated by state actor	Year 1: No. allegedl perpetra by state actor		r - r
No. allegedly perpetrated by businesses	Year 1: No. allegedl perpetra by busines	ted perpetra	ited perpetrate by
No. allegedly perpetrated by other non-state actors	Year 1: No. allegedl perpetra by other non- state actors		· ·

2. Opinion and Expression Page 37 of 91

No. unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1: No.	2: No.	3: No.
	unknow	n unknow	n unknow

Review status: Not reviewed

2.2.3.6 Issue being worked on

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Environment, land, and human rights	Year 1: Environr land, and human rights	Year 2: nent, Environn land, and human rights	Year 3: nent, Environme land, and human rights
Business and human rights	Year 1: Business and human rights	Year 2: Business and human rights	Year 3: Business and human rights
Civic and political rights (in general)	Year 1: Civic and political rights (in general)	Year 2: Civic and political rights (in general)	Year 3: Civic and political rights (in general)
Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	Year 1: Econom social, and cultural rights (in	Year 2: c, Economi social, and cultural rights (in	Year 3: c, Economic, social, and cultural rights (in

2. Opinion and Expression Page 38 of 91

	general)	general)	general)
Labour rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Labour	Labour	Labour
	rights	rights	rights
Women's rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Women's	Women's	Women's
	rights	rights	rights
Children's rights	Year 1: Children's rights	Year 2: Children's rights	Year 3: Childrens
LGBTI rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI
	rights	rights	rights
Indigenous peoples' rights	Year 1: Indigenous peoples' rights	Year 2: Indigenous peoples' rights	Year 3: Indigenou peoples' rights
IDP rights	Year	Year	Year
	1: IDP	2: IDP	3: IDP
	rights	rights	rights
Refugee rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Refugee	Refugee	Refugee
	rights	rights	rights
Migrant rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Migrant	Migrant	Migrant
	rights	rights	rights
Other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Other	Other	Other

Review status: Not reviewed

and reprisals experienced by your group, organisation, network or men communicating with international bodies were effectively remedied?	
No. of cases effectively remedied	
No. of cases not effectively remedied	
No. of cases still open or where status is unknown	
No. of cases where no legal case has been brought	
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed	

Guidance

This question measures the state's efforts to ensure effective remedies for cases of intimidation and reprisals against human rights defenders for communication with international bodies.

For assessing this, determine whether each case reported in the previous question was remedied or not. It is possible that for some cases, no information on the outcome is available. These should then be assessed as still open or unknown status. Proportion of cases remedied will be measured by dividing the total number of cases remedied by the sum of all cases remedied,

Individuals and groups who engage with the UN sometimes face intimidation or reprisals, as outlined in Human Rights Council resolution 12/2.

In the Data Source box, please include supporting documentation for your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases.

Additional comments and reflections can be placed in the Comment Box.

Data Provider:

Group of Human Rights Defenders.

not remedied, and still open each year.

I21

Description

Proportion of reported cases of intimidation and reprisals against human rights defenders for communicating with international bodies which were effectively remedied (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.c Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.4 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10 Sustainable Development Goal 16.3

Binding Human Rights Instruments

ICCPR art. 12 ICCPR Art. 19.2 ICCPR art. 9 OPCAT Art. 15 OPCEDAW Art. 11 OPCESCR Art. 13 ICCPR Art. 2.3 ICCPR General Comment No. 31 ICCPR Art. 6.1 ICCPR General Comment No. 36

Resources

Human Rights Council Resolution on cooperation with the UN A/HRC/RES/12/2 (2009) Acts of intimidation and reprisal for cooperation with the Special Procedures (... Preventing and addressing acts of intimidation and reprisal for cooperation wit... Intimidation and its Impact on Engagement with the UN Human Rights System: Meth...

2. Opinion and Expression Page 40 of 91

2.3. To access information

2.3.1. In the last three years, has your group, network, organisation, or any of its members experienced any blockage or filtering of websites which are critical of the government or discuss human rights issues?
○ Yes
○ No
O No data
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the number of cases where websites that contain information that is critical of the government, or that contain human rights issues that may be inconvenient to the government are blocked for users.

Any restrictions on the operation of websites, blogs or any other internet-based, electronic, or other such information dissemination system, including systems to support such communication, such as internet service providers or search engines, must be in keeping with permissible restrictions in human rights law on freedom of expression and opinion.

The counting unit should be the website (domain such as https://www.humanrights.dk/(https://www.humanrights.dk/)) that is affected by blockage or filtering. Each website should be counted once in the year it is affected, irrespective of whether the same website was affected repeatedly in the same year, whether it was affected in only one or in several parts of the country, and irrespective of who was responsible for blocking or filtering the website.

To be counted in this indicator, it is necessary to determine that the website contained information that was: a) either critical of the government or, b) discussed human rights issues that may have been inconvenient for the authorities. Blocked or filtered websites that are suspected to contain information that violate human rights (for example, by supporting terrorist groups or by inciting to violence) and that are blocked by due process procedures should not be counted. Complete internet shutdowns (for whatever reasons) and technical failures of internet transmission or servers should also not be counted.

In the Data Source Box, include links and/or specific references as documentation for your response.

In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Group of Human Rights Defender.

127

Description

Reported cases of blockage or filtering of websites that contain information that are critical of the government or discusses human rights issues (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 6.a

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

<u>Sustainable Development Goal 16.10</u>

2. Opinion and Expression

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC Art. 13.1 CRPD Art. 21 ICERD Art. 5 ICRMW Art. 13.2 ICCPR Art. 19.2 ICCPR General Comment No. 34

Resources

Vibrant Information Barometer (IREX) World Trends in Freedom of Expression and Media Development (UNESCO) Global Expression Report (Article 19) Global Right to Information Rating Map (Centre for Law and Democracy and Access... World press freedom index (Reporters without Borders) Reporters without Borders country profiles Mapping Media Freedom (European Center for Press and Media Freedom)

Concepts

Blocking and filtering

2.3.2. If so, how many cases have you experienced?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3. ASSOCIATION AND ASSEMBLY

This domain covers measures which enable or hinder the exercise of the freedom of association and assembly. It is divided into three attributes: (3.1) freedom to hold peaceful assemblies, (3.2) freedom to form, join, operate, and participate in associations, and (3.3) access to resources. These attributes cover issues such as: infringement of the rights to hold peaceful assembly and to access resources for the protection of human rights; persecution for participating in assembly; interference in internet connectivity in connection with participation in a peaceful assembly; interference in associations working on human rights issues; and procedures for the legal registration of associations.

3.1 To hold peaceful assemblies

3.1.1. In the last three years, has your group, organisation, network, or any of its members experienced any attacks or other harmful acts after participation in an assembly?
Yes

○ No	
O No data	
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed	

Guidance

This indicator measures the extent of attacks and other harmful acts against human rights defenders that took place <u>after</u> participation in an assembly in each of the past three years.

The right to hold peaceful assemblies is a fundamental human right. To give effect to this right, human rights law imposes negative and positive duties on States before, during and after assemblies. No one should face reprisals due to their presence or association with a peaceful assembly.

The counting unit for this indicator is attacks and acts. Attacks and harmful acts of the same type that took place in one incident should be counted only once (e.g., a prolonged physical attack of one person in public transport after the assembly is counted as one). Attacks and harmful acts of different types (e.g., unjustified fine and illegal arrest) during one incident or during separate incidents should be counted separately. Attacks and harmful acts should be counted only if they can be directly linked to participation in a specific assembly through direct or circumstantial evidence, even if they took place long after the assembly. In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Group of human rights defenders

132

Description

Reported attacks or other harmful acts against human rights defenders by state and non-state actors after participation in an assembly (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.a

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 8.8 Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC Art. 15.1 ICERD Art. 5 ICESCR Art. 8.1 ICCPR Art. 21 ICCPR General Comment No. 37

Resources

UN Special Rapporteur on freedom of peaceful assembly and of association Monitor: Tracking Civic Space (CIVICUS)

Concepts

<u>Harmful act Lethal and non-lethal acts Defamation Harassment Discriminatory treatment Threats Infringement of the right to privacy Private security providers Other provided characteristics Self-identification</u>

3. Association and Assembly Page 43 of 91

3.1.2. If so, how many cases were there?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.3. Of the cases above, how many each year were related to each of the following?

	No. of cases
	Physical
	attacks
	against
	persons
	(includin
	lethal
Physical attacks against persons (including lethal and non-lethal attacks)	and
	non-
	lethal
	attacks):
	No. of
	cases
	Illegal
	arrest
	or
	detentior
	C.
llegal arrest or detention c. Unjustified fines or sanctions	Unjustifie
	fines
	or
	sanction
	No. of
	cases
Non-physical attacks (e.g. threats, defamation, harassment, discriminatory treatment etc.)	Non-

	physical attacks (e.g. threats, defamation harassme discrimina
	treatment etc.): No. of cases
Acts of restrictions to the right to privacy related to an assembly	Acts of restriction to the right to privacy related to an assembly No. of cases
Other types of attacks or harmful acts	Other types of attacks or harmful acts: No. of cases
Unjustified fines or sanctions	Unjustified fines or sanctions No. of cases

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.4. Please include the total number of cases for each year with regard to the following:

3.1.4.1 Gender of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of male	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	male	male	male
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of female	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	female	female	female
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of other	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
On. of unknown	On.	On.	On.
	of	of	of
	unknowi	n unknown	unknow

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.4.2 Age of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of 18 and over	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of 18	of 18	of 18
	and	and	and

	over	over	over
No. of under 18	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	under	under	under
	18	18	18
No. of unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknov	vn unknov	vn unknowi

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.4.3 Other provided characteristics (self-identified)

	Year 1 Year 2		Year 3	
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:	
No. from indigenous group	No.	No.	No.	
No. IIom indigenous group	from	from	from	
	indigeno	ous indigen	pus indigeno	
	group	group	group	
	Year	Year	Year	
	1:	2:	3:	
No form about minado.	No.	No.	No.	
No. from ethnic minority	from	from	from	
	ethnic	ethnic	ethnic	
	minority	minority	minority	
	Year	Year	Year	
	1:	2:	3:	
No from religious minority	No.	No.	No.	
No. from religious minority	from	from	from	
	religious	religiou	s religious	
	minority	minority	minority	
No. of person living with disabilities	Year	Year	Year	

	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	person	person	person
	living	living	living
	with	with	with
	disabilities	disabilities	disabilities
No. of LGBTI	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.4.4 Profession

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of trade unionists	No.	No.	No.
No. of trade difformsts	of	of	of
	trade	trade	trade
	unionis	s unionis	s unionists
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of journalists	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	journali	sts journali	sts journalists
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of other	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.4.5 Alleged Perpetrator

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
no. allegedly perpetrated by state actor	Year 1: no. allegedl perpetra by state actor	_	_
no. allegedly perpetrated by businesses	Year 1: no. allegedl perpetra by busines	ated perpetra	ted perpetrated
no. allegedly perpetrated by other non-state actors	Year 1: no. allegedl perpetra by other non- state actors	_	
no. unknown	Year 1: no. unknow	Year 2: no. n unknow	Year 3: no. n unknown

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.4.6 Issue being worked on

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Environment, land, and human rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Environr	nent, Environr	nent, Environme

			, .
	land, and	land, and	land, and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights
	rigitio	rigitto	ngnio
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
Business and human rights	Business	Business	Busines
· ·	and	and	and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Civic	Civic	Civic
Civic and political rights (in general)	and	and	and
3	political	political	political
	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Economic,	Economic,	Econom
	social,	social,	social,
Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	and	and	and
	cultural	cultural	cultural
	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)
	Year	Year	Year
Labour rights	1:	2:	3:
Labour rights	Labour	Labour	Labour
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Women's rights	1:	2:	3:
Wonlen's rights	Women's	Women's	Women'
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Children's rights	1:	2:	3:
Chillulen a rights	Children	Childrens	Children
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
I CPTI rights	1:	2:	3:
LGBTI rights	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI
	rights	rights	rights

Indigenous peoples' rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Indigenous	Indigenous	Indigenous
	peoples'	peoples'	peoples'
	rights	rights	rights
IDP rights	Year	Year	Year
	1: IDP	2: IDP	3: IDP
	rights	rights	rights
Refugee rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Refugee	Refugee	Refugee
	rights	rights	rights
Migrant rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Migrant	Migrant	Migrant
	rights	rights	rights
Other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Other	Other	Other

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.5. In the last three years, has your group, organisation, network, or any of its
members experienced any blocking or limiting of internet connectivity or limited
access to online accounts or fora in connection with participation in a peaceful
assembly?

access to online accounts or fora in connection with participation in a peaceful assembly?
○ Yes
O No
O No data
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the extent of restrictions on internet communications, relating specifically to peaceful assemblies.

To answer this, identify cases guided by the questions and guidance.

The right to hold peaceful assemblies is a fundamental human right.

The right protects participants both during an assembly but also extends to activities outside of the "immediate context of gathering" but which is "integral to making the exercise meaningful". This includes planning and dissemination of information about the assembly, as well as communication with participants in advance of the assembly etc. Many of these activities now happen online or rely on the internet and digital services. States parties must not, therefore, block or hinder internet connectivity in relation to peaceful assemblies.

The same applies to geotargeted or technology-specific interference with connectivity or access to content. States should ensure that the activities of Internet service providers and intermediaries do not unduly restrict assemblies. Any restrictions on the operation of information dissemination systems must conform with the tests for restrictions on freedom of expression.

For the purpose of this question, restrictions on internet access should be counted only if they can be directly linked to participation in a specific assembly, even if they took place long before or after the assembly. Thus, internet shutdowns immediately before or during an assembly that are presumably linked to state opposition to the assembly should be counted. In contrast, the wholesale ban of a social media channel from a country that cannot be linked to a specific assembly should not be counted.

When considering cases of blocking or limiting access to online accounts or fora, any widely used channel of communication should be taken into account. Thus, in addition to widely popular social media channels such as Facebook, Instagram, Tiktok, YouTube, Twitter, Snapchat or Telegram, other social media platforms that are popular should be considered. The only limitation is that the restrictions on this channel can potentially impact a significant audience for a specific assembly.

The counting unit for this indicator are cases of restrictions of internet access that affect many persons. Thus, a case of limiting access to Facebook in relation to a specific assembly is counted as one even if it affects thousands of users. A separate case of restricting access to Twitter for hundreds of users is again counted separately as one. A complete blockage of internet access for all users in a country or region is also counted as one. A blockage of a popular website that contains information on the specific assembly is also counted as one. In contrast, single cases of blocking internet access for individual users are not likely to have a significant impact on the organisation of an assembly and are therefore not counted.

The restrictions, shutdown, or blockage may be temporary or permanent and extend to the whole country or only parts of it. Each restriction should be counted only once in relation to a particular assembly, irrespective of whether the same channel (website) was affected repeatedly in relation to a specific assembly and whether it was affected in only one or in several parts of the country. In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response

Data Provider:

Group of Human Rights Defenders.

133

Description

Reported cases of the state blocking or limiting internet connectivity, or blocking or limiting access to online accounts or fora in relation to peaceful assemblies (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.a</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 6.a</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 6.b</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 6.c</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 8.8 Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC art. 13 CRC art. 15 ICCPR art. 19 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICESCR Art. 8.1 ICRMW art. 13 ICCPR Art. 21 ICCPR General Comment No. 37

Resources

Freedom on the Net Report (Freedom House) The internet shutdowns issue (Access Now)

Concepts

Blocking and filtering

3.1.6 If yes, how many cases were there:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year 1: No. of cases	2: No. of	3: No. of

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.7. In the last three years, has your group, organisation, network, or any of its members experienced any infringements of your/their right to hold peaceful assemblies?				
○ Yes				
○ No				
O No data				
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed				

Guidance

This question measures the extent of infringements of the right to hold peaceful assemblies in each of the past three years. To collect data on the number of cases, identify cases guided by the questions and guidance.

The counting unit for this indicator is infringements of the right to peaceful assembly. Therefore, there can be multiple acts of different types counted that relate to the same assembly.

Acts of the same type relating to the same assembly should only be counted once (e.g., undue restrictions on the right to observe are only counted once).

Acts of the same or different type that relate to different assemblies should always be counted.

The right to hold peaceful assemblies is a fundamental human right, enshrined in several international human rights standards. In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Group of human rights defenders

<u>134</u>

Description

Reported cases of infringements of the right to freedom of peaceful assembly (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.a

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 8.8 Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC art. 15 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICESCR Art. 8.1 ILO_Convention_87 ICCPR Art. 21 ICCPR General Comment No. 37

Resources

Joint report of the Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful ass... 10 Principles for the proper management of assemblies 10 Principles Civil Society Guide: How To Advocate For Better Management Of Ass... Monitor: Tracking Civic Space (CIVICUS)

Concepts

Infringements

3.1.8. If yes, how many cases have you experienced?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Yea		Year
No. of cases	1: No.	2: No.	3: No.
	of	of	of
	case	es case:	cases

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.9. How many of the infringements each year were related to the following?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Unjustified prohibition or restriction of a peaceful assembly or protest	Year 1: Unjustified prohibition or restriction of a peaceful assembly or protest	prohibitior or	prohibition or restriction of a peaceful

	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Disproportiona	ne Disproportionale	Disproportion
	use of	use of	use of
	force by	force by	force by
	law	law	law
Disproportionate use of force by law enforcement during	enforcement	enforcement	enforcement
an assembly or protest	during	during	during
	an	an	an
	assembly	assembly	assembly
	or	or	or
	protest	protest	protest
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Insufficient	Insufficient	Insufficient
	protection	protection	protection
	of	of	of
	peaceful	peaceful	peaceful
	participants	participants	participants
	during	during	during
Insufficient protection of peaceful participants during an	an	an	an
assembly or protest against violence by non-state actors	assembly	assembly	assembly
assembly of protest against violence by non-state actors	or	or	or
	protest	protest	protest
	·	•	-
	against	against	against
	violence	violence	violence
	by non-	by non-	by non-
	state	state	state
	actors	actors	actors
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Undue	Undue	Undue
	restrictions	restrictions	restrictions
	on the	on the	on the
Undue restrictions on the right to observe, monitor and	right to	right to	right to
record assemblies	observe,	observe,	observe,
	monitor	monitor	monitor
	and	and	and
	record	record	record
	assemblies	assemblies	assemblies
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Violations	Violations	Violations
	of the	of the	of the
	right to	right to	right to
Violations of the right to privacy in relation to an	privacy	privacy	privacy
	in	in	in
assembly (e.g., by the use of face-recognition software):	relation	relation	relation
	to an	to an	to an
	assembly	assembly	assembly
	(e.g., by	(e.g., by	(e.g., by
	(3-, ~)	(-13., 4)	(. 3 .,)

	the use	the use	the use
	of face-	of face-	of face-
	recognition	recognition	recognition
	software):	software):	software):
Unjustified restrictions on the right to access information related to assemblies	Year 1: Unjustified restrictions on the right to access information related to assemblies	Year 2: Unjustified restrictions on the right to access information related to assemblies	Year 3: Unjustified restrictions on the right to access information related to assemblies

Review status: Not reviewed

3.1.10. Over each of the last three years, how many of the cases of infringements of the right to peaceful assembly experienced by your group, organisation, network or members were effectively remedied?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	
	Year	Year	Year	
	1:	2:	3:	
	No.	No.	No.	
No. of cases effectively remedied	of	of	of	
	cases	cases	cases	
	effectively	effectively	effectiv <mark>el</mark>	
	remedied	remedied	remedied	
	Year	Year	Year	
	1:	2:	3:	
	No.	No.	No.	
	of	of	of	
No. of cases not effectively remedied	cases	cases	cases	
	not	not	not	
	effectively	effectively	effectivel	
	remedied	remedied	remedied	
No. of cases still open or where status is unknown	Year	Year	Year	

	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases
	still	still	still
	open	open	open
	or	or	or
	where	where	where
	status	status	status
	is	is	is
	unknown	unknovn	unknown
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases
	where	where	where
No of constitutions and constitution in the constitution of co	no	no	no
No. of cases where no legal case has been brought or opened	legal	legal	legal
	case	case	case
	has	has	has
	been	been	been
	brought	brought	brought
	or	or	or
	opened	opened	opened

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the response of the state to infringements of the right to freedom of peaceful assembly.

To answer this, determine whether each case reported in the previous question was remedied or not. It is possible that for some cases, no information on the outcome is available. These should then be assessed as still open or unknown status. It is also possible that no legal case has been brought to date: this should be marked accordingly.

The right to hold peaceful assemblies is a fundamental human right enshrined in several international human rights standards. Where this right is restricted or violated, recourse to courts or other mechanisms to seek a remedy for restrictions must be readily available, including the possibility of appeal or review. Such remedies should also be adapted to the special vulnerability of certain categories of persons, including children.

In the Data Source box, please include supporting documentation. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases.

In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Group of human rights defenders

I30

Description

How many of these cases over the three years were effectively remedied? (related to indicator 31)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.a Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.6 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.7 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.8 Human Rights Defender De</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 8.8 Sustainable Development Goal 16.3 Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC art. 15 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICESCR Art. 8.1 ICCPR Art. 2.3 ICCPR General Comment No. 31 ICCPR Art. 21 ICCPR General Comment No. 37

Resources

Joint report of the Special Rapporteur on the rights to freedom of peaceful ass... Monitor: Tracking Civic Space (CIVICUS)

Concepts

Infringements Effective remedies

3.2 To form, join, and operate associations

3.2.1. In the last three years, has your group, association or network experienced any unlawful interference by the State in its operations?		
○ Yes		
○ No		
O No data		
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed		

Guidance

This question measures the extent of unlawful interference by the state in the operation of associations working on human rights issues. Associations should be free to operate without undue interference.

To assess this, identify and describe cases within your group, network, association or among members. Only take into consideration unlawful interference in associations working on human rights.

Examples of interference include invasive oversight, harassment, suspension of activities or dissolution, unlawful or illegitimate surveillance of communications, overly broad limits on advocacy, criminalisation of dissent, limiting foreign contact, and limitation on communication more widely.

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. In the Additional Comments Box provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Groups of human rights defenders.

138

Description

Reported cases of unlawful interference by the State in the operation of associations working on human rights issues (over the last three year)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.b

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CEDAW Art. 7 CRC art. 15 CRPD Art. 29 ICCPR art. 22 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICESCR Art. 8.1

Resources

<u>UN Special Rapporteur on freedom of peaceful assembly and of association | ILO Committee on Freedom of Association | Guidelines on Freedom of Association (OSCE/ODIHR)</u>

Concepts

Association Invasive oversight Harassment Suspension of activities or dissolution Unlawful or illegitimate surveillance of communications

3.2.2. If yes, how many cases were there:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year 1: No. of	Year 2: No. of	Year 3: No. of
	cases		

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3.2.3. In the last three years, how many of these cases of unlawful interference by the state in the operations of your group, association or network were effectively remedied?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases effectively remedied	Year 1: No. of cases effectiv remedi	*	-

	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
No. of cases not effectively remedied	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases
	not	not	not
	effectively	effectively	effective
	remedied	remedied	remedie
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases
No. of cases still open or where status is unknown	still	still	still
No. of cases still open of where status is unknown	open	open	open
	or	or	or
	where	where	where
	status	status	status
	is	is	is
	unknovn	unknovn	unknow
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases
	where	where	where
No. of cases where no legal case has been brought or opened	no	no	no
No. of cases where no legal case has been blought of opened	legal	legal	legal
	case	case	case
	has	has	has
	been	been	been
	brought	brought	brought
	or	or	or
	opened	opened	opened

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the state's efforts to ensure effective remedies for these cases of unlawful interference by the state in the operations of your group, association or network working on human rights issues. Associations should be free to operate without undue interference.

To answer this, use the case data from the previous question and assess whether each case was remedied or not.

It is possible that when determining whether each case was effectively remedied, no information on the outcome of the case is available or a legal case is yet to be brought. These should be marked accordingly.

In the Data Source box, please include any documentation to support your response. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases.

In the Additional Comments Box provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Groups of human rights defenders.

137

Description

Proportion of reported cases of unlawful interference by the state in associations working on human rights issues which were effectively remedied (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.b</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.2</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.3.a</u> <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.3 Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CEDAW Art. 7 CRC art. 15 CRPD Art. 29 ICCPR art. 22 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICESCR Art. 8.1 ICCPR Art. 2.3 ICCPR General Comment No. 31

Resources

<u>UN Special Rapporteur on freedom of peaceful assembly and of association ILO Committee on Freedom of Association Guidelines on Freedom of Association (OSCE/ODIHR)</u>

Concepts

Association Association working on human rights Effective remedies

3.2.4. Has your group, organisation or network experienced that your registration or the registration of a member working on human rights was denied?
○ Yes
○ No
O No data
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This indicator measures the number of requests for registration of associations working on human rights that were denied, as an indication of whether the right to freedom of association is upheld in this regard.

Applications may be refused, denied, rejected, or not accepted. All should be included in the 'denied' option in question 3.2.5.

Processing of applications may also be delayed inordinately even though all required information has been provided, functioning, in effect, as a form of being unable to register. Such cases should be included in 'unresolved' in question 3.2.5.

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. In the Additional Comments Box, provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Groups of human rights defenders

139

Description

Reported cases of requests for registration that were denied (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.b

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CEDAW Art. 7 CRC art. 15 CRPD Art. 29 ICCPR art. 22 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICESCR Art. 8.1

Resources

<u>UN Special Rapporteur on freedom of peaceful assembly and of association Reports of the ILO Committee on Freedom of Association Guidelines on Freedom of Association (OSCE/ODIHR)</u>

Concepts

Association

3.2.5. If yes, how many applications were authorised, denied, or remained unresolved:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Number authorised	Year 1: Number authoris		
Number denied	Year 1: Number denied	Year 2: Number denied	Year 3: Number denied
Number unresolved	Year 1: Number unresolv		

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3. Association and Assembly

3.2.6. Indicate the issue (s) the denied group, association, or network intended to work on:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Environment,	Environment,	Environme
Environment, land, and human rights	land,	land,	land,
	and	and	and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
Business and human rights	Business	Business	Business
Susiness and numan rights	and	and	and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
	1: Civil	2: Civil	3: Civil
	and	and	and
Civil and political rights (in general)	political	political	political
	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Economic,	Economic,	Economic,
	social	social	social
Economic, social and cultural rights (in general)	and	and	and
	cultural	cultural	cultural
	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)
	Year	Year	Year
Labour rights	1:	2:	3:
	Labour	Labour	Labour
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Women's rights	1:	2:	3:
3	Women's	Women's	Women's
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Children's Rights	1:	2:	3:

	Children's	Children's	Children's
	Rights	Rights	Rights
LGBTI rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI
	rights	rights	rights
Indigenous peoples' rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Indigenous	Indigenous	Indigenous
	peoples'	peoples'	peoples'
	rights	rights	rights
IDP rights	Year	Year	Year
	1: IDP	2: IDP	3: IDP
	rights	rights	rights
Refugee rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Refugee	Refugee	Refugee
	rights	rights	rights
Migrant rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Migrant	Migrant	Migrant
	rights	rights	rights
Other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Other	Other	Other
Not known	Year	Year	Year
	1: Not	2: Not	3: Not
	known	known	known

Review status: Not reviewed

3.3 To access resources

3.3.1. In the last three years, has your group, association, network, or any of its members experienced any infringements to your/their right to seek, receive or use financial resources for the protection of human rights?				
○ Yes				
○ No				
O No data				
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed				

Guidance

This question assesses the extent to which individuals/associations enjoy the right to seek, receive or utilise financial resources for the promotion of human rights.

To assess this, identify cases among your members, organisations or networks based on the following guidance and standards. Individuals and associations should be able to seek, receive, and use financial resources for human rights. This includes allowing funding from governmental, intergovernmental, philanthropic, and private sources; and from domestic or foreign sources.

Illegitimate restrictions may include (but are not limited to):

Using national security or anti-terrorism as a justification for restricting legitimate work

not allowing funding from certain sources, such as banning international funding

requiring funding to be routed through government

onerous approval processes, such as requiring prior approval by government

limiting the kinds of associations that can be funded or the activities that can be funded.

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. In the Additional Comments Box please provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Groups of Human Rights Defenders.

142

Description

Reported cases of infringements to the right to seek, receive or utilise financial resources for the protection and realisation of human rights and fundamental freedoms (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.b Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 13

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CEDAW Art. 7 CRC art. 15 CRPD Art. 29 ICCPR art. 22 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICESCR Art. 8.1

Resources

<u>UN Special Rapporteur on freedom of peaceful assembly and of association</u> <u>General Principles on Protecting civic space and the right to access resources ...</u>

3.3.2. If so, how many cases were there:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

3.3.3. Based on above case data, include the total number of these cases per year related to associations working on the following issues:

3.3.3.1. Issue being worked on

	Year 1	Year 1 Year 2 Year 3	
Environment, land, and human rights	Year 1: Environr land, and human rights	Year 2: nent, Environr land, and human rights	Year 3: nent, Environmen land, and human rights
Business and human rights	Year 1: Business and human rights	Year 2: Busines and human rights	Year 3: Business and human rights
Civil and political rights (in general)	Year 1: Civil and political	Year 2: Civil and political	Year 3: Civil and political

	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)
Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	Year 1: Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	Year 2: Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	Year 3: Economic social, and cultural rights (in general)
Labour rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Labour	Labour	Labour
	rights	rights	rights
Women's rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Women's	Women's	Women's
	rights	rights	rights
Children's Rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Children's	Children's	Children's
	Rights	Rights	Rights
LGBTI rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI
	rights	rights	rights
Indigenous peoples' rights	Year 1: Indigenous peoples' rights	Year 2: Indigenous peoples' rights	Year 3: Indigenou peoples' rights
IDP rights	Year	Year	Year
	1: IDP	2: IDP	3: IDP
	rights	rights	rights
Refugee rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Refugee	Refugee	Refugee
	rights	rights	rights
Migrant rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:

	Migrant	Migrant	Migrant
	rights	rights	rights
Other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Other	Other	Other

Review status: Not reviewed

3.3.3.2 In the last three years, how many of these infringements to the right to seek, receive or utilise financial resources were effectively remedied?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases effectively remedied	Year 1: No. of cases effectiv remedi	1	
No. of cases not effectively remedied	Year 1: No. of cases not effectiv remedi	*	
No. of cases still open or where status is unknown	Year 1: No. of cases still open or where status is unknov	Year 2: No. of cases still open or where status is	Year 3: No. of cases still open or where status is

No. of cases where no legal case has been brought or opened	Year 1: No. of cases where no legal case has been brought or opened	Year 2: No. of cases where no legal case has been brought or opened	Year 3: No. of cases where no legal case has been brought or opened
---	---	---	---

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the state's efforts to ensure effective remedies for illegitimate restrictions of the right to seek, receive, or utilise financial resources for the protection and realisation of human rights.

To collect data on the state's efforts to provide effective remedies in this regard, determine whether each of the above collected cases was remedied or not. It is possible that for some cases, no information on the outcome is available or that no legal case has been brought. This should be marked accordingly.

Individuals and associations should be able to seek, receive and use financial resources for human rights. This includes allowing funding from governmental, intergovernmental, philanthropic, and private sources and from domestic or foreign sources.

Data Provider:

Groups of Human Rights Defenders.

142

Description

Reported cases of infringements to the right to seek, receive or utilise financial resources for the protection and realisation of human rights and fundamental freedoms (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 5.b Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 13

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CEDAW Art. 7 CRC art. 15 CRPD Art. 29 ICCPR art. 22 ICERD Art. 4 ICERD Art. 5 ICESCR Art. 8.1

Resources

UN Special Rapporteur on freedom of peaceful assembly and of association General Principles on Protecting civic space and the right to access resources ...

Concepts

Association Financial resources

4. PARTICIPATION IN PUBLIC AFFAIRS

This domain covers measures which enable or hinder the exercise of the right to participation in public affairs. It has one attribute: (4.1) participation in the conduct of public affairs, equal and universal suffrage and access to public service. This attribute covers the existence of effective mechanisms to implement the right to participate in public affairs and restrictions to this right.

4.1Participation, Univ. Suffrage, Public Services

4.1.1. To what extent would you say current mechanisms for participation in your country allow human rights defenders to have a say in what the government does?
O Not at all
○ Very little
Some
O A lot
A great deal
O not know
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the perceptions of groups of human rights defenders on whether they can participate and contribute to decisions of governmental bodies, agencies and organisations concerned with public affairs.

The indicator is deliberately modelled on SDG indicator 16.7.2 by using a similar set of two questions to measure both perceptions of inclusion and perceptions of influence

A survey needs to be carried among a representative sample of your group/members. Care should be taken in the selection of respondents to reflect a diversity of members.

A survey tool(https://defend.humanrights.dk/files/media/document/

Survey%20Tool%20for%204.1.1 4.1.2%20 Group%20Questionnaire.pdf) is attached here.

Article 23 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) recognizes the right and opportunity, without distinction of any kind, such as race, colour, sex, language, religion, political or other opinion, national or social origin, property, birth or other status to take part in the conduct of public affairs, directly or through freely chosen representatives.

In terms of direct participation, modes of participation or mechanisms can include popular assemblies and bodies established in consultation with government. Where these mechanisms are established, no distinction should be made between citizens as regards their participation and no unreasonable restrictions should be imposed.

A range of other direct participation initiatives are now being increasingly implemented around the world and include websites, multi-stakeholder committees, public hearings, consultations and working groups.

Consultation rights for certain groups of rights-holders, for example, indigenous peoples, minorities, children, and persons with disabilities are established in a range of specific human rights instruments.

In the Data Source box, include sample selection, sample size, data collection mode, questionnaire. and other relevant issues to assess the data

Additional comments can be placed in the Comment Box

Data Provider:

Groups of Human Rights Defenders.

I49

Description

Proportion of human rights defenders and their associations who report that current mechanisms for their participation in public decision-making are inclusive and responsive

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 8.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 8.2

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 5.5 Sustainable Development Goal 10.2 Sustainable Development Goal 16.7

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CEDAW Art. 7 CRC art. 12 CRPD Art. 29 CRPD Art. 4.3 ICCPR Art. 25 UNDRIP Art. 5

Resources

SDG Indicator Metadata - 16.7.2 Guidelines for States on the effective implementation of the right to participa...

4.1.2. To what extent would you say that current mechanisms for participation in your country allow human rights defenders to have an influence on governmental bodies, agencies and organizations concerned with public affairs?
O Not at all
○ Very little
Some
O A lot
A great deal
O not know
Status: open Review status: Not reviewed

4.1.3. In the last three years, has your group, organisation, network, or any of its members experienced any acts aimed at restricting your right to participate in

public affairs?
○ Yes
○ No
No data
Status: open
Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the extent to which human rights defenders enjoy their right to participate in public affairs

To assess this, review examples of where human rights defenders were restricted from participating in the conduct of public affairs, using the questions and guidance.

The counting unit for this indicator is the case. One case can involve several people and span over several years (but is then counted only once), or it can involve only one person and one infringement. One person can also have several cases in the same year if these cases deal with separate infringements.

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. In the Additional Comments Box, please provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Groups of Human Rights Defenders.

<u>150</u>

Description

Reported cases of acts aimed at restricting the right of human rights defenders to participate in public affairs (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 8.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 8.2

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 5.5 Sustainable Development Goal 10.2 Sustainable Development Goal 16.7

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC art. 12 CRPD Art. 29 CEDAW Art. 7 CEDAW General Recommendation No. 23 CRPD Art. 4.3 CRPD General Comment No. 7 ICCPR Art. 27 ICCPR General Comment No. 23 ICCPR Art. 25 ICCPR General Comment No. 25

Resources

Rule of Law Index - subcategory on Open Government (World Justice Project) OHCHR and elections and human rights

Concepts

Conduct of public affairs Self-identification Other provided characteristics

4.1.4. If so, how many cases were there in:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of cases	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

4.1.5. Please include the total number of cases for each year in relation to the following:

4.1.5.1 Gender of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of male	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	male	male	male
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of female	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	female	female	female
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of other	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
No. of unknown	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknowi	n unknown	unknow

Review status: Not reviewed

4.1.5.2 Age of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of 18 and over	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of 18	of 18	of 18
	and	and	and
	over	over	over
No. of under 18	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	under	under	under
	18	18	18
No. of unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknow	vn unknov	vn unknowr

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

4.1.5.3 Other provided characteristics (self-identified)

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year 1: no.	Year 2: no.	Year 3: no.
no. from indigenous group	from indigeno	from ous indigen	from
	group	group	group

no. from ethnic minority	Year 1: no. from ethnic minority	Year 2: no. from ethnic minority	Year 3: no. from ethnic minority
no. from religious minority	Year 1: no. from religious minority	Year 2: no. from religious minority	Year 3: no. from religious minority
no. of person living with disabilities	Year 1: no. of person living with disabilities	Year 2: no. of person living with disabilities	Year 3: no. of person living with disabilities
no. of LGBTI	Year 1: no. of LGBTI	Year 2: no. of LGBTI	Year 3: no. of LGBTI

Review status: Not reviewed

4.1.5.4 Profession of HRD

Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Year	Year	Year
1: no.	2: no.	3: no.
of	of	of
trade	trade	trade
unionists	unionists	unionist
Year	Year	Year
1: no.	2: no.	3: no.
of	of	of
journalists	journalists	journalis
Year	Year	Year
	Year 1: no. of trade unionists Year 1: no. of journalists	Year 1: no. of

2: no.	3: no.
	5. 110.
of	of
other	other

Review status: Not reviewed

4.1.5.5 Alleged Perpetrator of act

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. allegedly perpetrated by state actor	Year 1: No. allegedly perpetrat by state actor	-	-
No. allegedly perpetrated by businesses	Year 1: No. allegedly perpetrat by business	ted perpetra by	ted perpetrated by
No. allegedly perpetrated by other non-state actors	Year 1: No. allegedly perpetrat by other non- state actors	-	-
No. unknown	Year 1: No. unknown	Year 2: No. unknow	Year 3: No. n unknown

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

4.1.5.6 Issue worked on by HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Environr		
Environment, land, and human rights	land,	land,	land,
Environment, tana, and naman ngme	and	and	and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
Duning and house an eighte	Business	Business	Business
Business and human rights	and	and	and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Civic	Civic	Civic
Civic and political rights (in general)	and	and	and
3 (3)	political	political	political
	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Economi	, and the second	
	social,	social,	social,
Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	and	and	and
	cultural	cultural	cultural
	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)
	Year	Year	Year
Labour rights	1:	2:	3:
	Labour	Labour	Labour
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Women's rights	1:	2:	3:
	Women's rights	s Women's rights	s Women's rights
	Year	Year	Year
Children's rights	1:	rear 2:	3:
onina. on o rigino	1:	2.	ა.

	Children s rights	Children s rights	Children's rights
LGBTI rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI
	rights	rights	rights
Indigenous peoples' rights	Year 1: Indigenous peoples' rights	Year 2: Indigenous peoples' rights	Year 3: Indigenous peoples' rights
IDP rights	Year	Year	Year
	1: IDP	2: IDP	3: IDP
	rights	rights	rights
Refugee rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Refugee	Refugee	Refugee
	rights	rights	rights
Migrant rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Migrant	Migrant	Migrant
	rights	rights	rights
Other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Other	Other	Other

Review status: Not reviewed

4.1.5.7 In the last three years, how many of these infringements to the right to participate in public affairs as experienced by your group, association, network, or members were effectively remedied?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases effectively remedied	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.

	of cases effective remedie	-	
No. of cases not effectively remedied	Year 1: No. of cases not effective remedia	-	· ·
No. of cases still open or where status is unknown	Year 1: No. of cases still open or where status is unknow	Year 2: No. of cases still open or where status is unknow	Year 3: No. of cases still open or where status is unknown
No. of cases where no legal case has been brought	Year 1: No. of cases where no legal case has been brough	Year 2: No. of cases where no legal case has been brough	Year 3: No. of cases where no legal case has been brought

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the state's efforts to ensure effective remedies for illegitimate restrictions of the right of human rights defenders to participate in public affairs.

In terms of collecting data on state's efforts to provide effective remedies, determine whether each of the documented cases were effectively remedied or not. It is possible that for some cases, no information on the outcome is available or that no legal case has been brought. This should be marked accordingly.

In the Data Source box, please include information on where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases.

In the Additional Comments Box, please provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Groups of Human Rights Defenders.

147

Description

Proportion of reported cases of acts against the right of human rights defenders to participate in public affairs which were effectively remedied (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 8.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 8.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.3 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

<u>Sustainable Development Goal 5.5</u> <u>Sustainable Development Goal 10.2</u> <u>Sustainable Development Goal 16.3</u> <u>Sustainable Development Goal 16.7</u>

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC art. 12 CRPD Art. 29 ICCPR Art. 27 CEDAW Art. 7 CEDAW General Recommendation No. 23 CRPD Art. 4.3 CRPD General Comment No. 7 ICCPR Art. 25 ICCPR General Comment No. 25 ICCPR Art. 2.3 ICCPR General Comment No. 31

Resources

Rule of Law Index - subcategory on Open Government (World Justice Project) OHCHR and elections and human rights

Concepts

Conduct of public affairs Self-identification Other provided characteristics Effective remedies

5. LIBERTY AND SECURITY

This domain covers measures which enable or hinder the exercise of the right to liberty and security of person. It has one attribute: (5.1) arrest and detention and effective access to court and remedy. This attribute covers infringements of the right to a fair trial.

5.2. Arrest, Detention and Remedies

5.1.1. In the last three years, have there been any cases of killings, kidnapping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention and torture of any member of your group, network or association while the individual was under protection measures at the time of the incident?				
○ Yes				
○ No				
O No data				
Status: open				

5. Liberty and Security Page 80 of 91

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the effectiveness of protection mechanisms for human rights defenders by determining the extent to which human rights defenders under protection measures at the time experienced any of these harmful acts.

To answer this, use data collected under question 1.1.1 and then determine whether in any of these cases a protection measure was in place.

Security of person concerns freedom from injury to the body and the mind, or bodily and mental integrity. The right to personal security places an obligation on states to respond to patterns of violence, including against human rights defenders.

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. Additional comments can be placed in the Comment Box.

Data Provider:

Groups of Human Rights Defenders.

155

Description

Number of verified cases of killing, kidnapping, enforced disappearance, arbitrary detention, and torture of human rights defenders under protection measures (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.10

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CRC Art. 37 CRC Art. 6.1 CRPD Art. 10 CRPD Art. 14.1 ICRMW art. 16 ICRMW Art. 9 ICCPR Art. 9.1 ICCPR General Comment No. 35 ICCPR Art. 6.1 ICCPR General Comment No. 36

Resources

SDG Indicator Metadata - 16.10.1 (UNSD) International human rights standards and recommendations relevant to the disagg...

Concepts

<u>Killings (of human rights defenders)</u> <u>Kidnappings (of human rights defenders)</u> <u>Enforced Disappearance (of human rights defenders)</u> <u>Torture Journalists Trade unionists Arbitrary Detention</u>

5.1.1.1 If yes, how many cases have there been in:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	cases	cases	cases

5. Liberty and Security Page 81 of 91

Status: open
Review status: Not reviewed

5.2.1 In the last three years, has your group, organisation, network, or any of its members experienced any infringements against their right to a fair trial?					
○ Yes					
○ No					
O No data					
Status: open					
Review status: Not reviewed					

Guidance

This question assesses the extent to which human rights defenders enjoy the right to a fair trial.

To answer, use the questions and guidance to identify cases of infringements.

The counting unit is the case where a trial (according to civil or criminal law) before a court (or other competent tribunal) is assessed to infringe one or several standards of the right to a fair trial.

Several infringements that occur simultaneously or successively within the same trial are counted as one case.

Each defendant or complainant who has one of their rights to a fair trial infringed should be counted separately. Thus, one mass trial of 30 individuals which violates multiple standards of a fair trial are counted as 30 cases. Conversely, one trial of an individual human rights defender that violates various standards (e.g., the right to remain silent and the right to a public hearing before an independent and impartial tribunal) is counted as one.

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. In the Additional Comments Box, please provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response.

Data Provider:

Groups of Human Rights Defenders.

I62

Description

Reported cases of infringements against the right to a fair trial for human rights defenders (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5</u>

<u>9.3.a Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.3

Binding Human Rights Instruments

ICCPR Art. 2.3 ICCPR General Comment No. 31 ICCPR art. 14 ICCPR General Comment No. 32 ICCPR art. 15 ICCPR General Comment No. 32 CRC art. 40 CRC General Comment No. 24 CAT Art. 13 CRPD Art. 13.1 ICERD Art. 6 CEDAW art. 15 ICRMW art. 18 ICRMW art. 19

5. Liberty and Security Page 82 of 91

Resources

News and analysis of the violation of the right to a fair trial (Fair Trials) Rule of Law Index - Factor 4 (Fundamental Rights), category 4.3 (Due process of...

Concepts

Other provided characteristics Self-identification

5.2.2. If yes, how many were there in:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases	Year 1: No. of cases	Year 2: No. of cases	3: No. of

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

5.2.3 What were the total number of these cases in each year related to:

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	The	The	The
	right to	right to	right to
	be	be	be
The right to be presumed innocent until proved guilty	presumed	presumed	presumed
	innocent	innocent	innocent
	until	until	until
	proved	proved	proved
	guilty	guilty	guilty
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	The	The	The
	right to	right to	right to
	a fair	a fair	a fair
The right to a fair and public hearing by an independent and	and	and	and
impartial tribunal	public	public	public
	hearing	hearing	hearing
	by an	by an	by an
	independent	independent	independe

5. Liberty and Security Page 83 of 91

	and	and	and
	impartial	impartial	impartial
	tribunal	tribunal	tribunal
The right to legal representation	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	The	The	The
	right to	right to	right to
	legal	legal	legal
	representation	representation	representatio
Other rights to a fair trial (please specify in comments)	Year 1: Other rights to a fair trial (please specify in comments)	Year 2: Other rights to a fair trial (please specify in comments)	Year 3: Other rights to a fair trial (please specify in comments)

Review status: Not reviewed

5.2.4. Please include the total number of cases for each year with regard to the following:

5.2.4.1 Gender of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of male	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	male	male	male
No. of female	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	female	female	female
No. of other	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:

5. Liberty and Security Page 84 of 91

	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other
No. of unknown	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	No.	No.	No.
	of	of	of
	unknow	vn unknow	vn unknown

Review status: Not reviewed

5.2.4.2 Age of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
no. of 18 and over	no.	no.	no.
	of 18	of 18	of 18
	and	and	and
	over	over	over
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	no.	no.	no.
no. of under 18	of	of	of
	under	under	under
	18	18	18
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
no. of unknown	no.	no.	no.
	of	of	of
	unknov		

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

5. Liberty and Security Page 85 of 91

5.2.4.3 Other provided characteristics (self-identified)

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
no. from indigenous group	Year 1: no. from indigen group	Year 2: no. from ous indigen group	Year 3: no. from ous indigenous group
no. from ethnic minority	Year 1: no. from ethnic minority	Year 2: no. from ethnic minority	Year 3: no. from ethnic minority
no. from religious minority	Year 1: no. from religiou minority	-	
no. of person living with disabilities	Year 1: no. of person living with disabilit	Year 2: no. of person living with ies disabilit	Year 3: no. of person living with ies disabilities
no. of LGBTI	Year 1: no. of LGBTI	Year 2: no. of LGBTI	Year 3: no. of LGBTI

Status: open

Review status: Not reviewed

5.2.4.4 Profession of HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
no. of trade unionists	Year	Year	Year
	1: no.	2: no.	3: no.

5. Liberty and Security Page 86 of 91

	of	of	of
	trade	trade	trade
	unionists	unionist	s unionists
no. of journalists	Year	Year	Year
	1: no.	2: no.	3: no.
	of	of	of
	journalist	s journali	sts journalists
no. of other	Year	Year	Year
	1: no.	2: no.	3: no.
	of	of	of
	other	other	other

Review status: Not reviewed

5.2.4.5 Issue worked on by HRD

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
	Year 1:	Year 2:	Year 3:
	Environme	ent, Environm	ent, Environmen
Environment, land, and human rights	land,	land,	land,
	and	and	and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights
Business and human rights	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Business	Business	Business
	and	and	and
	human	human	human
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Civic	Civic	Civic
	and	and	and
Civic and political rights (in general)	political	political	political
	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)

5. Liberty and Security Page 87 of 91

	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
	Economic,	Economic,	Economi
	social,	social,	social,
Economic, social, and cultural rights (in general)	and	and	and
	cultural	cultural	cultural
	rights	rights	rights
	(in	(in	(in
	general)	general)	general)
	Year	Year	Year
Labour rights	1:	2:	3:
Labour rights	Labour	Labour	Labour
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Women's rights	1:	2:	3:
Women's rights	Women's	Women's	Women'
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Children's rights	1:	2:	3:
Children's rights	Children	Childrens	Children
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
LODTI visible	1:	2:	3:
LGBTI rights	LGBTI	LGBTI	LGBTI
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
	1:	2:	3:
Indigenous peoples' rights	Indigenous	Indigenous	Indigeno
	peoples'	peoples'	peoples'
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
IDP rights	1: IDP	2: IDP	3: IDP
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Defines vielts	1:	2:	3:
Refugee rights	Refugee	Refugee	Refugee
	rights	rights	rights
	Year	Year	Year
Mr. and A. Li	1:	2:	3:
Migrant rights	Migrant	Migrant	Migrant
	rights	rights	rights
Other	Year	Year	Year

5. Liberty and Security Page 88 of 91

1.	2.	2.
Other	Other	Other

Review status: Not reviewed

5.2.4.6 In the last three years, how many of these infringements against the right to a fair trial among your group, association, network, or members working on human rights were effectively remedied?

	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
No. of cases effectively remedied	Year 1: No. of cases effectiv remedi	1 *	-
No. of cases not effectively remedied	Year 1: No. of cases not effectiv remedi	1 -	-
No. of cases still open or where status is unknown	Year 1: No. of cases still open or where status is unknow	Year 2: No. of cases still open or where status is wn unknow	Year 3: No. of cases still open or where status is vn unknown
No. of cases where no legal case has been brought or opened	Year	Year	Year

5. Liberty and Security Page 89 of 91

1:	2:	3:
No.	No.	No.
of	of	of
cases	cases	cases
where	where	where
no	no	no
legal	legal	legal
case	case	case
has	has	has
been	been	been
brought	brought	brought
or	or	or
opened	opened	opened

Review status: Not reviewed

Guidance

This question assesses the state's efforts to ensure effective remedies for cases of infringements of the right to a fair trial.

To answer, determine the total number of all cases which were remedied or not. It is possible that for some cases, no information on the outcome is available or that no legal case has been brought. This should be marked accordingly.

In the Data Source box, please include information on the methodology used to collect cases, including where the case information is stored to document your responses. Kindly note that no personal or sensitive data should be uploaded here on individual cases. In the Additional Comments Box, please provide any further information or reflections to qualify your response

Data Provider:

Groups of Human Rights Defenders.

159

Description

Proportion of reported cases of infringements against the right to a fair trial for human rights defenders which were effectively remedied (over the last three years)

UN Declaration on Human Rights Defenders

<u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.1 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.2 Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5</u>

9.3.a <u>Human Rights Defender Declaration Art. 9.5</u>

Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs)

Sustainable Development Goal 16.3

Binding Human Rights Instruments

CAT Art. 13 CEDAW art. 15 CRC art. 40 CRPD Art. 13.1 ICERD Art. 6 ICRMW art. 18 ICRMW art. 19 ICCPR Art. 2.3 ICCPR General Comment No. 31 ICCPR art. 14 ICCPR General Comment No. 32 ICCPR art. 15 ICCPR General Comment No. 32

Resources

News and analysis of the violation of the right to a fair trial (Fair Trials) Rule of Law Index - Factor 4 (Fundamental Rights), category 4.3 (Due process of...

Concepts

Effective remedies Other provided characteristics Self-identification

5. Liberty and Security Page 90 of 91

Respondents

Operate Technology (support@operate.dk)

Co-respondent(s)

Reviewer(s)

5. Liberty and Security Page 91 of 91